

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	(Form PCT/ISA/2	of Transmittal of International Search Report 20) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
FC 874/5	ACTION				
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/EP 00/06540	10/07/2000	20/07/1999			
Applicant					
PHARMACIA AND UPJOHN S.P.	Α.				
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Auth ansmitted to the International Bureau.	nority and is transmitted to the applicant			
This International Search Report consists X It is also accompanied by	of a total of sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in this	report.			
Basis of the report					
 With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unl 	international search was carried out on the bas ess otherwise indicated under this item.	sis of the international application in the			
the international search w Authority (Rule 23.1(b)).	as carried out on the basis of a translation of the	he international application furnished to this			
b. With regard to any nucleotide an was carried out on the basis of the		ternational application, the international search			
contained in the international application in written form.					
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	this Authority in computer readble form				
the statement that the sub	this Authority in computer readble form. sequently furnished written sequence listing d	oes not go beyond the disclosure in the			
international application as filed has been furnished. the statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished					
2. Certain claims were fou	nd unsearchable (See Box I).				
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box II).				
4. With regard to the title ,					
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.				
	hed by this Authority to read as follows:				
COMBINED PREPARATIONS	COMPRISING DAUNORUBICIN DER	IVATIVES AND HER2 ANTOBODIES			
5. With regard to the abstract .					
	briftled by the applicant. hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authori date of mailing of this international search rep				
6. The figure of the drawings to be publ	ished with the abstract is Figure No.	1			
X as suggested by the appli	cant.	None of the figures.			
because the applicant fail	ed to suggest a figure.				
because this figure better	characterizes the invention.				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K31/70 A61K39/395 A61P35/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)} \\ IPC & 7 & A61K \end{array}$

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, MEDLINE, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Х	BASELGA J ET AL: "HER2 Overexpression and Paclitaxel sensitivity in breast cancer: Therapeutic implications" ONCOLOGY,CH,S. KARGER AG, BASEL,	1-14
	vol. 11, no. 3, SUPPL. 02, March 1997 (1997-03), pages 43-48, XP002100077 ISSN: 0030-2414 abstract	
	page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47, column 1	
Υ	US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MICHAEL ET AL) 14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	1-14
	-/	

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C	χ Patent family members are listed in annex.
'A' document defining the general state of the lart which is not considered to be of particular relevance. 'E' earlier document but published on or after the international filing date. 'L' document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified). 'O' document reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means. 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed.	 'T' later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention 'X' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone 'Y' document of particular relevance, the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art '&' document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search 20 December 2000	Date of mailing of the international search report $29/12/2000$
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. i+31-70i.340-2040. Tx. 31.651 epo.nl. Fax. i+31.70i.340-3016	Authorized officer Gonzalez Ramon, N



PCT/EP 00/06540

C.(Continu	uation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category ^c	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 705 157 A (GREENE MARK I) 6 January 1998 (1998-01-06) claims 2,5	1-14
E	WO 00 69460 A (GENENTECH INC) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23) abstract page 11, line 20-23; claims 2,3,7	1-14
X	WO 99 31140 A (GENENTECH INC) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24) page 12, line 4-6; claims 1,4 abstract	1-14
E	WO 00 44225 A (DANNENBERG ANDREW J; CORNELL RES FOUNDATION INC (US); SUBBARAMAIAH) 3 August 2000 (2000-08-03) page 21 -page 22; claims 2,3,5	1-14
E	WO 00 61185 A (BELLET ROBERT E ; VOGEL CHARLES L (US)) 19 October 2000 (2000-10-19) claims 1,3	1-14
Y	WO 89 06692 A (GENENTECH INC) 27 July 1989 (1989-07-27) page 11, line 12-25; claims 23,28,32 page 12, line 33-35	1-14
Y	EP 0 328 147 A (BRISTOL MYERS CO) 16 August 1989 (1989-08-16) page 8 -page 9; claims 5,11-13,24,26	1-14

Form FIGE_SAI21 - pontinuation of second sheet

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

mation on patent family members

ernational Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5677171	A	14-10-1997	US 5772997 A US 5770195 A US 5720954 A JP 11335297 A JP 11255666 A JP 3502885 T WO 8906692 A US 5720937 A US 5725856 A	30-06-1998 23-06-1998 24-02-1998 07-12-1999 21-09-1999 04-07-1991 27-07-1989 24-02-1998 10-03-1998
US 5705157	Α	06-01-1998	NONE	
WO 0069460	Α	23-11-2000	NONE	
WO 9931140	Α	24-06-1999	AU 1908199 A EP 1037926 A NO 20002957 A	05-07-1999 27-09-2000 11-08-2000
WO 0044225	Α	03-08-2000	NONE	-
WO 0061185	Α	19-10-2000	NONE	
WO 8906692	Α	27-07-1989	JP 11335297 A JP 11255666 A JP 3502885 T US 5677171 A US 5720937 A US 5772997 A US 5725856 A US 5770195 A US 5720954 A	07-12-1999 21-09-1999 04-07-1991 14-10-1997 24-02-1998 30-06-1998 10-03-1998 23-06-1998 24-02-1998
EP 0328147	Α	16-08-1989	AT 105486 T CA 2010164 A DE 68915179 D DE 68915179 T DK 63989 A ES 2053828 T FI 890599 A IE 64650 B IL 89220 A IL 106992 A JP 1246295 A JP 2740841 B KR 9615398 B NO 178229 B NO 950099 A NZ 227911 A PT 89683 A,B US 5122368 A ZA 8900938 A	15-05-1994 15-08-1991 16-06-1994 06-10-1994 12-08-1989 01-08-1994 12-08-1989 23-08-1995 27-02-1994 24-06-1994 02-10-1989 15-04-1998 13-11-1996 06-11-1995 14-08-1989 27-11-1990 04-10-1989 16-06-1992 29-11-1989

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 25 January 2001 (25.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 01/05425 A3

- (51) International Patent Classification?: A61K 31/70, 39/395, A61P 35/00
- (21) International Application Number: PCT/EP00/06540
- (22) International Filing Date: 10 July 2000 (10.07.2000)
- (25) Filing Language:

English

(26) Publication Language:

English

(30) Priority Data:

9917012.8

20 July 1999 (20.07.1999) GI

- (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): PHAR-MACIA & UPJOHN S.P.A. [IT/IT]; Via Robert Koch, 1.2, I-20152 Milano (IT).
- (72) Inventors; and
- (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): GERONI, Cristina [IT/IT]; Via Correggio, 48, I-20149 Milan (IT). RIPA-MONTI, Marina [IT/IT]; Viale Fulvio Testi, 91, I-20162 Milan (IT). CARUSO, Michele [IT/IT]; Via Desiderio, 3, I-20131 Milan (IT). SUARATO, Antonino [IT/IT]; Via degli Imbriani, 39, I-20100 Milano (IT).

- (81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.
- (84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

- With international search report.
- (88) Date of publication of the international search report: 17 May 2001

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern nal Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 7 A61K31/70 A61K39/395 A61P35/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system tollowed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, MEDLINE, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE

X BASELGA J ET AL: "HER2 Overexpression and Paclitaxel sensitivity in breast cancer: Therapeutic implications" ONCOLOGY,CH,S. KARGER AG, BASEL, vol. 11, no. 3, SUPPL. 02, March 1997 (1997-03), pages 43-48, XP002100077 ISSN: 0030-2414 abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47, column 1 Y US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MICHAEL ET AL) 1-14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
ONCOLOGY, CH, S. KARGER AG, BASEL, vol. 11, no. 3, SUPPL. 02, March 1997 (1997-03), pages 43-48, XP002100077 ISSN: 0030-2414 abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47, column 1 Y US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MICHAEL ET AL) 14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	Paclitaxel sensitivity in breast cancer:	1-14
XP002100077 ISSN: 0030-2414 abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47, column 1 US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MICHAEL ET AL) 14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	ONCOLOGY,CH,S. KARGER AG, BASEL, vol. 11, no. 3, SUPPL. 02,	
abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47, column 1 Y US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MICHAEL ET AL) 14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	XP002100077	
14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47,	
	14 October 1997 (1997-10-14)	1-14
		Paclitaxel sensitivity in breast cancer: Therapeutic implications" ONCOLOGY,CH,S. KARGER AG, BASEL, vol. 11, no. 3, SUPPL. 02, March 1997 (1997-03), pages 43-48, XP002100077 ISSN: 0030-2414 abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph 4 -page 47, column 1 US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MICHAEL ET AL) 14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39

X Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the pnority date claimed	 'T' tater document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention. 'X' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone. 'Y' document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art. '&' document member of the same patent family
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report
20 December 2000	29/12/2000
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tet. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Gonzalez Ramon, N



Intern 1al Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

		PC1/EP 00/06540
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	10.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 705 157 A (GREENE MARK I) 6 January 1998 (1998-01-06) claims 2,5	1-14
E	WO 00 69460 A (GENENTECH INC) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23) abstract page 11, line 20-23; claims 2,3,7	1-14
X	WO 99 31140 A (GENENTECH INC) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24) page 12, line 4-6; claims 1,4 abstract	1-14
E	WO OO 44225 A (DANNENBERG ANDREW J ;CORNELL RES FOUNDATION INC (US); SUBBARAMAIAH) 3 August 2000 (2000-08-03) page 21 -page 22; claims 2,3,5	1-14
E	WO 00 61185 A (BELLET ROBERT E ; VOGEL CHARLES L (US)) 19 October 2000 (2000-10-19) claims 1,3	1-14
Y	WO 89 06692 A (GENENTECH INC) 27 July 1989 (1989-07-27) page 11, line 12-25; claims 23,28,32 page 12, line 33-35	1-14
Y	EP 0 328 147 A (BRISTOL MYERS CO) 16 August 1989 (1989-08-16) page 8 -page 9; claims 5,11-13,24,26	1-14
į		



•

TIONAL SEARCE	H REPORT	Interr.	nal Application No
information on patent family memi	bers	PCT/	EP 00/06540
Publication	Patent fam	ily	Publica

Patent document cited in search repo	ort	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5677171	A	14-10-1997	US 5772997 A US 5770195 A US 5720954 A JP 11335297 A JP 11255666 A JP 3502885 T WO 8906692 A US 5720937 A US 5725856 A	30-06-1998 23-06-1998 24-02-1998 07-12-1999 21-09-1999 04-07-1991 27-07-1989 24-02-1998 10-03-1998
US 5705157	Α	06-01-1998	NONE	
WO 0069460	Α	23-11-2000	NONE	
WO 9931140	Α	24-06-1999	AU 1908199 A EP 1037926 A NO 20002957 A	05-07-1999 27-09-2000 11-08-2000
WO 0044225	A	03-08-2000	NONE	
WO 0061185	Α	19-10-2000	NONE	
WO 8906692	А	27-07-1989	JP 11335297 A JP 11255666 A JP 3502885 T US 5677171 A US 5720937 A US 5772997 A US 5725856 A US 5770195 A US 5720954 A	07-12-1999 21-09-1999 04-07-1991 14-10-1997 24-02-1998 30-06-1998 10-03-1998 23-06-1998 24-02-1998
EP 0328147	A	16-08-1989	AT 105486 T CA 2010164 A DE 68915179 D DE 68915179 T DK 63989 A ES 2053828 T FI 890599 A IE 64650 B IL 89220 A IL 106992 A JP 1246295 A JP 2740841 B KR 9615398 B NO 178229 B NO 950099 A NZ 227911 A PT 89683 A,B US 5122368 A ZA 8900938 A	15-05-1994 15-08-1991 16-06-1994 06-10-1994 12-08-1989 01-08-1994 12-08-1989 23-08-1995 27-02-1994 24-06-1994 02-10-1989 15-04-1998 13-11-1996 06-11-1995 14-08-1989 27-11-1990 04-10-1989 16-06-1992 29-11-1989

Title: "Combined preparations comprising antitumor agents"

The present invention pertains to the field of neoplastic disease therapy. Particularly, this invention provides an antitumor composition comprising an alkylating anthracycline and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, for example the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody (rhuMab) anti-HER2, trastuzumab (HerceptinTM), having a synergistic or additive antineoplastic effect.

10 The present invention provides, in a first aspect, a pharmaceutical composition for use in antineoplastic therapy in mammals, including humans, comprising

- an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib

15

20

- a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or excipient.

The recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is preferably, the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.

The chemical names of the alkylating anthracyclines of formula Ia and Ib are 4-demethoxy-3'-deamino-3'-aziridinyl-4'-methansulfonyl daunorubicin (Ia) and 4-demethoxy-N,N-bis(2-chloroethyl-4'-methansulfonyl daunorubicin (Ib). These alkylating anthracyclines were described in Anticancer Drug Design (1995), vol. 10, 641-653, and claimed respectively in US-A-5,532,218 and US-A-5,496,800. Both compounds intercalate

into DNA via the chromophore and alkylate guanine at No position in DNA minor groove via their reactive molety on position 3' of the amino sugar. Compounds Ia and Ib are able to circumvent the resistance to all major classes of cytotoxics, indicating that the compounds represent a new class of cytotoxic antitumor drugs.

The recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab (HerceptinTM) is described in various scientific publications, for example Cancer Res., 1998, 58:2825-2831.

The present invention also provides a product comprising an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, preferably the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab, as combined preparation for simultaneous, separate or sequential use in antitumor therapy.

A further aspect of the present invention is to provide a method of treating a mammal, including a human, suffering from a neoplastic disease comprising administering to said mammal an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, preferably the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab, in amounts effective to produce a synergistic antineoplastic effect.

20

25

30

2 =

A still further aspect of the present invention is to provide a method for lowering the side effects caused by antineoplastic therapy with an antineoplastic agent in a mammal, including a human, in need thereof, the method comprising administering to said mammal a combined preparation comprising an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above, and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, preferably the the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trasturumab, in amounts effective to produce a synergistic antineoplastic effect.

By the term "a synergistic antineoplastic effect" as used herein is meant the inhibition of the growth tumor, preferably

3

the complete regression of the tumor, administering an effective amount of the combination of an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody to mammals, including humans.

By the term "administered" or "administering" as used herein is meant any acceptable manner of administering a drug to a patient which is medically acceptable including parenteral and oral administration. By "parenteral" is meant intravenous, subcutaneous and intramuscular administration. Oral administration includes administering the costituents of the combined preparation in a suitable oral form such as, e.g., tablets, capsules, suspensions, solutions, emulsions, powders, syrups and the like. Parenteral administration includes administering the costituents of the combined preparation by subcutaneous, intravenous or intramuscular injections.

10

15

20

35

The actual preferred method and order of administration of the combined preparations of the invention may vary according to, inter alia, the particular pharmaceutical formulation of the alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above being utilized, the particular pharmaceutical formulation of the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody being utilized, the particular cancer being treated, and the particular patient being treated.

The dosage ranges for the administration of the combined preparation may vary with the age, condition, sex and extent of the disease in the patient and can be determined by one of skill in the art.

The dosage regimen must therefore be tailored to the particular of the patient's conditions, response and associate treatments in a manner which is conventional for any therapy, and may need to be adjusted in response to changes in conditions and/or in light of other clinical conditions.

In the method of the subject invention, the alkylating anthracycline may be administered simultaneously with the

recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, or the compounds may be administered sequentially, in either order.

In the method of the subject invention, for the administration of the alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above, the course of therapy generally employed is from about 0.1 to about 200 mg/m 2 of body surface area. More preferably, the course therapy employed is from about 1 to about 50 mg/m 2 of body surface area.

In the method of the subject invention, for the administration of the recombinant numanized anti-HER2 antibody, for example for the administration of the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab, the course of therapy generally employed is from about 1 to about 1000 mg/m² of body surface area. More preferably, the course therapy employed is from about 50 to about 500 mg/m² of body surface area.

The antineoplastic therapy of the present invention is, in particular, suitable for treating breast, ovary, lung, colon, kidney, stomach, pancreas, liver, melanoma, leukemia and brain tumors in mammals, including humans. More in particular, the combined use of an alkylating anthracycline according to the invention and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, for example the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab, can be suitable for the treatment of patients with cancers over-expressing the HER2 protein, for example, for patient with metastatic breast cancer over-expressing the HER2 protein.

20

25

30

inhibition.

The antineoplastic therapy according to this invention also comprises the prevention and/or treatment of tumor metastasis. A still further aspect of the present invention is the use of an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, preferably the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab, for the treatment of tumors by angiogenesis

As stated above, the effectiveness of an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is significantly increased without a parallel increased toxicity. In other words, the combined therapy of the present invention enhances the antitumoral effects of the alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above and of a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody and thus yields the most effective and least toxic treatment for tumors.

The synergistic action displayed by the combined preparations according to the present invention can be shown, for instance, by testing the activity of the combination in mice bearing human tumor xenografts overexpressing HER2 protein, following, for example, the method described in Cancer Research, 1998, 58:2825-2831.

Suitable modifications and adaptations of a variety of conditions and parameters normally encountered in clinical therapy which are obvious to those skilled in the art are within the scope of this invention.

CLAIMS

1. Products containing an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib:

5

and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody as a combined preparation for simultaneous, separate or sequential use in antitumor therapy.

10

Products according to claim 1, wherein the recombinant 2. humanized anti-HER2 antibody is the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.

15

3. Products according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the alkylating 4-demethoxy-3'-deamino-3'-aziridinyl-4'anthracycline is methansulfonyl daunorubicin.

20

4. Products according to any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein the antitumor therapy is for treating cancers over-expressing HERD protein.

25

5. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier or excipient and, as active ingredient, an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined in claim 1 and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody.

- 6. A pharmaceutical composition according to claim 5 wherein the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.
- The Use of an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or ID as defined in claim 1 and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody in the preparation of a medicament for use in the treatment of tumors, wherein the alkylating anthracycline and the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody are administered simultaneously, separately or sequentially.

5

15

- 8. Use according to claim 7 wherein the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.
- 9. Use of an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined in claim 1 and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody in the preparation of a medicament for use in the prevention and/or treatment of tumor metastasis, wherein the alkylating anthracycline and the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody are administered simultaneously, separately or sequentially.
- 25 10. Use according to claim 9 wherein the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.
- 11. A method of treating a mammal, including a human, suffering from a neoplastic disease comprising administering to said mammal an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above and a recombinant humanized anti-HERO antibody, in amounts effective to produce a synergistic antineoplastic effect.

- 12. A method according to claim 11, wherein the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.
- 5 13. A method for lowering the side effects caused by antineoplastic therapy with an antineoplastic agent in a mammal, including a human, in need thereof, the method comprising administering to said mammal a combined preparation comprising an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib as defined above, and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, in amounts effective to produce a synergistic antineoplastic effect.
- 14. A method according to claim 13, wherein the recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is the recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody anti-HER2 trastuzumab.





(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date 25 January 2001 (25.01.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number WO 01/05425 A2

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: A61K 39/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/EP00/06540

(22) International Filing Date: 10 July 2000 (10.07.2000)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data: 9917012.8 20 July 1999 (20.07.1999) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): PHAR-MACIA & UPJOHN S.P.A. [IT/IT]; Via Robert Koch, 1.2, I-20152 Milano (IT).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): GERONI, Cristina [IT/IT]; Via Correggio, 48, I-20149 Milan (IT). RIPA-MONTI, Marina [IT/IT]; Viale Fulvio Testi, 91, I-20162 Milan (IT). CARUSO, Michele [IT/IT]; Via Desiderio, 3, I-20131 Milan (IT). SUARATO, Antonino [IT/IT]; Via degli Imbriani, 39, I-20100 Milano (IT).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM). European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

 Without international search report and to be republished upon receipt of that report.

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.



PCT

REC'D 23 OCT 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's FC 874/	-	ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION		ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
Internation	al appi	lication No.	International filing date (day/monti	h/year)	Priority date (day-month-year)
PCT/EP	00/06	540	10/07/2000		20/07/1999
Internation A61K39/		ent Classification (IPC) or na	tional classification and IPC		
Applicant					
PHARM	ACIA	AND UPJOHN S.P.A.	et al.		
		ational preliminary exami smitted to the applicant a		d by this Inte	rnational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This I	REPC	RT consists of a total of	9 sheets, including this cover s	heet.	
b (:	een a see R	mended and are the bas	is for this report and/or sheets of the Administrative Instruction	ontaining rea	n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority e PCT).
3. This r	eport	contains indications relat	ting to the following items:		
1	\boxtimes	Basis of the report			
П		Priority			
III	Σ	Non-establishment of op-	pinion with regard to novelty, inv	entive step a	and industrial applicability
IV		Lack of unity of invention	n		
V	3	Reasoned statement un citations and explanation	der Article 35(2) with regard to a ns suporting such statement	novelty, inve	ntive step or industrial applicability;
VI	\Box	Certain documents cited	-		
VII	\boxtimes	Certain defects in the int	ternational application		
VIII	\boxtimes	Certain observations on	the international application		
Date of sub	missio	n of the demand	Date of o	completion of t	his report

Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report	
24/01/2001	19.10 2001	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized officer	is a with a fixe.
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich	Herrero M	



Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 8542

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

i. Daois of the report	l.	Basis	of	the	report
------------------------	----	-------	----	-----	--------

1.	the and	receiving Office in l	nents of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" of this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):							
	1-5		as originally filed							
	Cla	ims, No.:								
	1-1	4	as originally filed							
2.		ith regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the nguage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
	The	nese elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:								
		the language of a t	ranslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).							
[the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).								
		the language of a t 55.2 and/or 55.3).	ranslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule							
3.			leotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the y examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:							
		contained in the int	ernational application in written form.							
		filed together with t	he international application in computer readable form.							
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.								
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.								
1.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description,	pages:							
		the claims.	Nos.:							
		the drawings,	sheets:							
ŏ.		This report has bee considered to go be	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):							

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

		report.)					
6.	Add	ditional observations, if n	ecessar	y:			
III.	Noi	n-establishment of opir	nion wit	h regard	to no	velty, inventive step and industrial applica	bility
1.						ars to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to been examined in respect of:	o be non-
		the entire international	applicat	ion.			
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 11-14 with	respect	to indust	rial ap	pplicability.	
be	caus	se:					
	\boxtimes	the said international ap does not require an inte see separate sheet				laims Nos. 11-14 relate to the following subject xamination (specify):	t matter which
		the description, claims of that no meaningful opin				articular elements below) or said claims Nos. pecify):	are so unclear
		the claims, or said claim could be formed.	ıs Nos.	are so in	adeqı	uately supported by the description that no me	aningful opinior
		no international search	report h	as been	establ	ished for the said claims Nos	
	and					cannot be carried out due to the failure of the the standard provided for in Annex C of the Ac	
		the written form has not	been fu	ırnished d	or doe	s not comply with the standard.	
		the computer readable f	orm has	s not bee	n furn	ished or does not comply with the standard.	
		soned statement under tions and explanations				gard to novelty, inventive step or industrial tement	applicability;
1.	Stat	ement					
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14		
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes.	Claims	1-10		

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

SECTION III

Claims 11-14 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT (i.e. methods of treatment of the human or animal body by therapy). Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

SECTION V

- 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS
- 2.1 The following documents have been considered for the purposes of this report:
 - D1: Baselga, J. et al (1997) Oncology 11:43-48
 - D2: WO 99/31140
 - D3: Pegram, M. et al (April 1999) Oncogene 18:2241-2251

The document D3 was not cited in the international search report. A copy of the document has been provided to the applicants.

2.2 Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter claimed does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT).

Among other relevant teachings D1 reviews the results (i) of the combined administration of either paclitaxel or doxorubicin (the two chemotherapeutic agents most active against breast cancer) with MoAb 4D5 (a murine anti-human HER2 monoclonal antibody) to mice bearing breast cancer human tumor xenografts expressing high levels of p185HER2 (cf page 46, right column, lines 18-44) and (ii) of the administration in a phase II clinical study of rhuMoab HER2

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP00/06540 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

(seemingly the same recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody identified in the present application as trastuzumab Herceptin[™]) in combination with cisplatin to patients with breast carcinomas that overexpress p185 HER2 and a history of proven refractoriness to chemotherapy. The results of said combined therapy in these patients suggested that the synergy observed in the laboratory was reproducible in the clinic (cf paragraph bridging pages 46-47). Furthermore, D1 also reports the ongoing phase III multinational study of chemotherapy, i.e. either cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin (or epirubicin) or paclitaxel, in combination with rhuMoab HER-2 in patients with HER2-overexpressing breast tumors who had not received prior chemotherapy for metastatic disease (cf page 47, left column and Figure 2).

D2 relates to the treatment of human patients susceptible to or diagnosed with cancer overexpressing ErbB2 (also known as HER2) with a combination of an anti-ErB2 humanized antibody (e.g. the HERCEPTINTM) and a chemotherapeutic agent other than an anthracycline, e.g. doxorubicin or epirubicin. The experimental data provided in D2 (cf Example on pages 27-30) substantiate that the combination of anti-ErbB2 antibody treatment with chemotherapy markedly increases the clinical benefit as assessed by response dates and the evaluation of disease progression. However, due to the increased cardiac side-effects of doxorubicin or epirubicin, the combined use of anthracyclines with anti-ErbB2 antibody therapy is contraindicated (cf last paragraph on page 30). It would appear that the technical information provided in the experimental section of D2 corresponds to the results of the ongoing phase III clinical trial referred to in D1 (see above).

D3 studies the inhibitory effects of combinations of HER-2/neu antibody and chemotherapeutic agents used for treatment of human breast cancers both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Attention is drawn to the paragraph bridging pages 2249-2250 concerning the method therein carried out for the analysis of rhuMab HER-2 in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs (i.e. doxorubicin, methotrexate, etopoxide, 5-fluorouracil, vinblastin, cyclophosphamide and paclitaxel) against HER-2/neu-overexpressing breast carcinoma xenografts *in vivo*

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP00/06540 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

The present application describes and claims combined preparations comprising two types of antitumor agents, i.e. a daunorubicin (an alkylating anthracycline) and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody, and their therapeutic uses, especially for treating cancers over-expressing HER2 protein.

According to the description "The effectiveness of an alkylating anthracycline of formula la or lb (i.e. the daunorubicin compound) and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is significantly increased without a parallel increased toxicity. In other words, the combined therapy of the present invention enhances the antitumoral effects of the alkylating anthracycline of formula la or lb and of a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody and thus yields the most effective and least toxic treatment for tumors" (cf page 5, lines 1-9).

In spite of the foregoing statements no experimental support can be found elsewhere in the description as originally filed showing that the expected technical effects, i.e. *in vivo* antineoplastic synergism not associated with an increased toxicity <u>in human patients</u>, are obtained.

The invitation found on page 5, lines 10-15 to carry out conventional *in vivo* assays in mice bearing human tumor xenografts overexpressing HER2 protein (of the type disclosed in D1 or D3, see above) with the intended compositions described in the present application cannot substantiate the presence of an inventive step associated with the subject-matter hereby claimed, contrary to Art. 33(3) PCT, all the more when considering the aforementioned results obtained in the phase III clinical trial reported in D2 with respect to the undesirable effects of a corresponding treatment involving the combined administration of HERCEPTIN™ with anthracycline compounds as doxorubicin.

Attention is drawn to the fact that both daunorubicin and doxorubicin are anthracycline antibiotic compounds which basically share the same mode of action (i.e. according to D2, page 12, lines 4-5 both are topo II inhibitors) and therefore, in the absence of suitable evidence of a technical nature demonstrating the contrary, a similar undesirable cardiac side-effect as the one referred to in D2 could in principle be expected for both of them, when used in combined treatments equivalent to those intended in present Claims 11-14.

Consequently, the application fails to contain the necessary technical information on the basis of which it could be possible to assess whether the various aspects of the alleged invention as defined in Claims 1-4, 5-6 and 7-10 (products for medical uses, pharmaceutical compositions, second medical indication manufacture formats) or Claims 11-14 (therapeutic methods) involve an inventive step over the teachings derivable from the related prior art (in particular D2), contrary to the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.

2.3 Industrial applicability (Art. 33(4) PCT)

For the assessment of the present Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 11-14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

2.4 In addition to the foregoing, the earlier document WO 00/69460 cited in the International Search Report is brought to the Applicant's attention in view of the provisions of Article 54(3)(4) EPC.

SECTION VII

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1, D2 and D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

SECTION VIII

1. Independent Claims 1 and 13 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. These claims

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP00/06540 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

attempt to define the intended therapeutic methods in terms of the result to be achieved which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The technical features necessary for achieving this result, i.e. the actual amounts effective to produce the pursued synergistic antineoplastic effect, should have been added.

2. The statement in the description on page 5, last paragraph, implies that the subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them (see also the PCT Guidelines, III-4.3a).

Intern hal Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

a. classification of subject matter IPC 7 A61K31/70 A61K39/395 A61P35/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, MEDLINE, BIOSIS, CHEM ABS Data, EMBASE

	ENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		Delever As elever No
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of	the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	BASELGA J ET AL: "HER2 Overe Paclitaxel sensitivity in bre Therapeutic implications" ONCOLOGY,CH,S. KARGER AG, BAS vol. 11, no. 3, SUPPL. 02, March 1997 (1997-03), pages 4 XP002100077 ISSN: 0030-2414 abstract page 46, column 2, paragraph column 1	ast cancer: EL, 3-48,	1-14
Y	US 5 677 171 A (SHEPARD H MIC 14 October 1997 (1997-10-14) claims 18,19,37,39	HAEL ET AL) -/	1-14
		Patent family members are liste	ed in annex.
X Furt	her documents are listed in the continuation of box C.		
Special of At documents of the Consider of the Consider of the Constant of the	ategories of cited documents : ent defining the general state of the lart which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international	"T" later document published after the in or priority date and not in conflict with cated to understand the principle or invention. "X" document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannove an inventive step when the cannot be considered to involve an document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an document is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvinithe art. "&" document member of the same pate.	claimed invention to the considered to document is taken alone eclaimed invention invention invention step when the more other such document is taken alone eclaimed invention invention of the such document is such document invention a person skilled
Special ca "A" docume "E" earlier filing of "L" docume which citatio "O" docume other "P" documental	ent defining the general state of the art which is not be defining the general state of the art which is not be defined to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international state ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cited to establish the publication date of another n or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filling date but	*T* later document published after the in or priority date and not in conflict will call the care of understand the principle or invention *X* document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannovolve an inventive step when the cannot be considered to involve an document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an document is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvinithe art.	in the application but theory underlying the claimed invention into the considered to document is taken alone claimed invention inventive step when the more other such doculious to a person skilled int family
Special care "A" docum consider "E" earlier filling of "L" docum which citatio "O" docum other "P" docum later t Date of the	ent defining the general state of the art which is not dered to be of particular relevance document but published on or after the international date ent which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or is cried to establish the publication date of another n or other special reason (as specified) ent referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or means ent published prior to the international filling date but han the priority date claimed	*T* later document published after the in or phority date and not in conflict will call the principle or invention *X* document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannove an involve an inventive step when the "Y* document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to involve an document is combined with one or ments, such combination being obvin the art. *&* document member of the same pate	in the application but theory underlying the claimed invention into the considered to document is taken alone claimed invention inventive step when the more other such doculious to a person skilled int family

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern 1al Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

	DOOL MENTS CONCIDEDED TO BE DELEVANT	
	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	Relevant to claim No.
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	1.00.2
Y	US 5 705 157 A (GREENE MARK I) 6 January 1998 (1998-01-06) claims 2,5	1-14
Ε	WO 00 69460 A (GENENTECH INC) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23) abstract page 11, line 20-23; claims 2,3,7	1-14
X	WO 99 31140 A (GENENTECH INC) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24) page 12, line 4-6; claims 1,4 abstract	1-14
E	WO 00 44225 A (DANNENBERG ANDREW J; CORNELL RES FOUNDATION INC (US); SUBBARAMAIAH) 3 August 2000 (2000-08-03) page 21 -page 22; claims 2,3,5	1-14
Ε	WO 00 61185 A (BELLET ROBERT E ; VOGEL CHARLES L (US)) 19 October 2000 (2000-10-19) claims 1,3	1-14
Υ	WO 89 06692 A (GENENTECH INC) 27 July 1989 (1989-07-27) page 11, line 12-25; claims 23,28,32 page 12, line 33-35	1-14
Y	EP 0 328 147 A (BRISTOL MYERS CO) 16 August 1989 (1989-08-16) page 8 -page 9; claims 5,11-13,24,26	1-14
-		

INTERESTIONAL SEARCH REPORT

A STATE OF THE STA

Information on patent family members

Interr. nal Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

Patent docume cited in search re		Publication date	;	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5677171	1 A	14-10-1997	US US JP JP JP WO US	5772997 A 5770195 A 5720954 A 11335297 A 11255666 A 3502885 T 8906692 A 5720937 A 5725856 A	30-06-1998 23-06-1998 24-02-1998 07-12-1999 21-09-1999 04-07-1991 27-07-1989 24-02-1998 10-03-1998
US 5705157	7 A	06-01-1998	NONE		
WO 0069460) A	23-11-2000	NONE		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WO 9931140) A	24-06-1999	AU EP NO	1908199 A 1037926 A 20002957 A	05-07-1999 27-09-2000 11-08-2000
WO 0044225	Α	03-08-2000	NONE		
WO 0061185	Α	19-10-2000	NONE		
W0 8906692	A	27-07-1989	JP JP US US US US US	11335297 A 11255666 A 3502885 T 5677171 A 5720937 A 5772997 A 5725856 A 5770195 A 5720954 A	07-12-1999 21-09-1999 04-07-1991 14-10-1997 24-02-1998 30-06-1998 10-03-1998 23-06-1998 24-02-1998
EP 0328147	A	16-08-1989	AT CA DE DE DK ES FI IL JP KR NO NO NZ PT US ZA	105486 T 2010164 A 68915179 D 68915179 T 63989 A 2053828 T 890599 A 64650 B 89220 A 106992 A 1246295 A 2740841 B 9615398 B 178229 B 950099 A 227911 A 89683 A, B 5122368 A 8900938 A	15-05-1994 15-08-1991 16-06-1994 06-10-1994 12-08-1989 01-08-1994 12-08-1989 23-08-1995 27-02-1994 24-06-1994 02-10-1989 15-04-1998 13-11-1996 06-11-1995 14-08-1989 27-11-1990 04-10-1989 16-06-1992 29-11-1989

INT NATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern 1al Application No PCT/EP 00/06540

	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US 5 705 157 A (GREENE MARK I) 6 January 1998 (1998-01-06) claims 2,5	1-14
Ξ	WO 00 69460 A (GENENTECH INC) 23 November 2000 (2000-11-23) abstract page 11, line 20-23; claims 2,3,7	1-14
	WO 99 31140 A (GENENTECH INC) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24) page 12, line 4-6; claims 1,4 abstract	1-14
	WO 00 44225 A (DANNENBERG ANDREW J; CORNELL RES FOUNDATION INC (US); SUBBARAMAIAH) 3 August 2000 (2000-08-03) page 21 -page 22; claims 2,3,5	1-14
	WO 00 61185 A (BELLET ROBERT E ; VOGEL CHARLES L (US)) 19 October 2000 (2000-10-19) claims 1,3	1-14
	WO 89 06692 A (GENENTECH INC) 27 July 1989 (1989-07-27) page 11, line 12-25; claims 23,28,32 page 12, line 33-35	1-14
	EP 0 328 147 A (BRISTOL MYERS CO) 16 August 1989 (1989-08-16) page 8 -page 9; claims 5,11-13,24,26	1-14

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING SUBMISSION OR TRANSMITTAL OF PRIORITY DOCUMENT

(PCT Administrative Instructions, Section 411)

To:

PHARMACIA & UPJOHN S.P.A. Patent Department Viale Pasteur, 10 I-20014 Nerviano ITALIE

Date of mailing (day month year) 18 September 2000 (18.09.00)	
Applicant's or agent's file reference FC 874/5	IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION
International application No. PCT EP00:06540	International filing date (day month year) 10 July 2000 (10.07.00)
International publication date (day month year) Not yet published	Priority date (day month year) 20 July 1999 (20.07.99)

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified of the date of receipt (except where the letters "NR" appear in the right-hand column) by the International Bureau of the priority document(s) relating to the earlier application(s) indicated below. Unless otherwise indicated by an asterisk appearing next to a date of receipt, or by the letters "NR", in the right-hand column, the priority document concerned was submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b).
- 2. This updates and replaces any previously issued notification concerning submission or transmittal of priority documents.
- 3. An asterisk(*) appearing next to a date of receipt, in the right-hand column, denotes a priority document submitted or transmitted to the International Bureau but not in compliance with Rule 17.1(a) or (b). In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.
- 4. The letters "NR" appearing in the right-hand column denote a priority document which was not received by the International Bureau or which the applicant did not request the receiving Office to prepare and transmit to the International Bureau, as provided by Rule 17.1(a) or (b), respectively. In such a case, the attention of the applicant is directed to Rule 17.1(c) which provides that no designated Office may disregard the priority claim concerned before giving the applicant an opportunity, upon entry into the national phase, to furnish the priority document within a time limit which is reasonable under the circumstances.

Priority date Priority application No. Country or regional Office or PCT receiving Office Of priority document

20 July 1999 (20.07.99) 9917012.8 GB 10 Augu 2000 (10.08.00)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Authorized officer

Catherine Massetti

Telephone No. 41/22 (338,83,38

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740,14,35

THE

PCT

NOTICE INFORMING THE APPLICANT OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION TO THE DESIGNATED OFFICES

(PCT Rule 47.1(c), first sentence)

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PHARMACIA & UPJOHN S.P.A. Patent Department Viale Pasteur, 10 I-20014 Nerviano ITALIE

Date of mailing (day, morth year)			
25 January 2001 (25.01.01)			
Applicantle as a centle file reference			

Applicant's or agent's file reference

FC 874/5

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

International filing date (day month year) 10 July 2000 (10.07.00)

Priority date (day-month/year) 20 July 1999 (20.07.99)

Applicant

PHARMACIA & UPJOHN S.P.A. et al

1. Notice is hereby given that the International Bureau has communicated, as provided in Article 20, the international application to the following designated Offices on the date indicated above as the date of mailing of this Notice:

AU, KP, KR, US

In accordance with Rule 47.1(c), third sentence, those Offices will accept the present Notice as conclusive evidence that the communication of the international application has duly taken place on the date of mailing indicated above and no copy of the international application is required to be furnished by the applicant to the designated Office(s).

2. The following designated Offices have waived the requirement for such a communication at this time:

AE,AG,AL,AM,AP,AT,AZ,BA,BB,BG,BR,BY,BZ,CA,CH,CN,CR,CU,CZ,DE,DK,DM,DZ,EA,EE,EP,ES, MN,MW,MX,MZ,NO,NZ,OA,PL,PT,RO,RU,SD,SE,SG,SI,SK,SL,TJ,TM,TR,TT,TZ,UA,UG,UZ,VN,YU, The communication will be made to those Offices only upon their request. Furthermore, those Offices do not require the applicant to furnish a copy of the international application (Rule 49.1(a-bis)).

3. Enclosed with this Notice is a copy of the international application as published by the International Bureau on 25 January 2001 (25.01.01) under No. WO 01 05425

REMINDER REGARDING CHAPTER II (Article 31(2)(a) and Rule 54.2)

If the applicant wishes to postpone entry into the national phase until 30 months (or later in some Offices) from the priority date, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed with the competent International Preliminary Examining Authority before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date.

It is the applicant's sole responsibility to monitor the 19-month time limit.

Note that only an applicant who is a national or resident of a PCT Contracting State which is bound by Chapter II has the right to file a demand for international preliminary examination.

REMINDER REGARDING ENTRY INTO THE NATIONAL PHASE (Article 22 or 39(1))

If the applicant wishes to proceed with the international application in the national phase, he must, within 20 months or 30 months, or later in some Offices, perform the acts referred to therein before each designated or elected Office

For further important information on the time il mits and acts to be performed for entering the national phase, see the Annex to Form PCT IB-301 (Notification of Receipt of Record Copy) and Volume 1 of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

J. Zahra

Telephone No. (41-22) 338,83,38

Facsimile No. 41-221 746,14,35



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

		ALL Florida				
FC 874/		ent's file reference	FOR FURTHER AC	TION		ation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
		elication No.	International filing date (a	lav:month		Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP			10/07/2000	a, monur	you	20/07/1999
Internation A61K39		ent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC			
Applicant						
PHARM	ACIA	AND UPJOHN S.P.A	A. et al.			
			mination report has been page according to Article 36.	prepared	by this Inter	rnational Preliminary Examining Authority
2. This	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 9 sheets, including this	cover sh	eet.	
t (see F	mended and are the b	asis for this report and/or s 607 of the Administrative I	sheets co	intaining red	n, claims and/or drawings which have ctifications made before this Authority e PCT).
3. This	report	contains indications re	lating to the following item	s:		
1	Ξ	Basis of the report				
11	:]	Priority				
111	Z		opinion with regard to nov	elty, inve	ntive step a	and industrial applicability
V	۱ ک				ovelty, inver	ntive step or industrial applicability:
VI		Certain documents ci				
VII	Σ	Certain defects in the	international application			
VIII	2	Certain observations of	on the international applica	ation		
				<u>-</u>		
Date of sub	missio	n of the demand		Date of co	mpletion of th	n's report
24 01 200	01			9 0.200		
	exami	address of the internation ring authority	a	 Authorizet	d officer	
<u>a)</u>)		cean Patent Office 298 Munich Walaa casa Jin Tulisosys		Herrerc.	M	

Fax +49 89 2399 - 4465

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

I. Basis c	f the	report
------------	-------	--------

1.	the an	Nith regard to the elements of the international application (Heplacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): Description, pages:									
	1-5	5	as originally filed								
	Cla	aims, No.:									
	1-1	4	as originally filed								
2.			guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the								
	lan	language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.									
	The	ese elements were a	available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:								
		the language of a	translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).								
		the language of pu	ublication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).								
		the language of a 55.2 and/or 55.3).	translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule								
		Vith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the nternational preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:									
		contained in the in	ternational application in written form.								
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.									
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.									
		The statement that listing has been fur	t the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence rnished.								
4.	The	amendments have	resulted in the cancellation of:								
		the description.	pages:								
	\Box	the claims.	Nos.:								
		the drawings.	sheets:								
5.		•	en established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been eyond the disclosure as fired (Ruie 70.2(c)):								

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6.	. Ad	ditional observations, if r	necessa	ry:								
Ш	. No	n-establishment of opi	nion wi	th regard	to novelty	y, inventiv	ve step an	d industri	al applic	ability		
 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an invention obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: the entire international application. 										to be non-		
		claims Nos. 11-14 with			rial applica	bility.						
b€	ecaus	se:										
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 11-14 relate to the following subject matter does not require an international preliminary examination (<i>specify</i>): see separate sheet									ect matter which	ר	
	the description, claims or drawings (<i>indicate particular elements below</i>) or said claims Nos. are so that no meaningful opinion could be formed (<i>specify</i>):									are so unclea	ır	
		the claims, or said clain could be formed.	ns Nos.	are so ir	adequately	y supporte	ed by the d	escription	that no m	eaningful opini	01	
		no international search	report h	nas been	established	d for the sa	aid claims	Nos				
2. A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Allinstructions:												
☐ the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the sta							ith the stai	ndard.				
		the computer readable	form ha	s not bee	n furnished	or does r	not comply	with the s	tandard.			
٧.		Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement										
1.	Stat	ement										
	Nov	elty (N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14							
	inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-14							
	Inau	strial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-10							

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

SECTION III

Claims 11-14 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT (i.e. methods of treatment of the human or animal body by therapy). Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

SECTION V

- 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS
- The following documents have been considered for the purposes of this report: 2.1
 - D1: Baselga, J. et al (1997) Oncology 11:43-48
 - D2: WO 99/31140
 - D3: Pegram, M. et al (April 1999) Oncogene 18:2241-2251

The document D3 was not cited in the international search report. A copy of the document has been provided to the applicants.

2.2 Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(3) PCT because the subject-matter claimed does not involve an inventive step (Rule 65(1)(2) PCT).

Among other relevant teachings D1 reviews the results (i) of the combined administration of either paclitaxel or doxorubicin (the two chemotherapeutic agents most active against breast cancer) with MoAb 4D5 (a murine anti-human HER2 monoclonal antibody) to mice bearing breast cancer human tumor xenografts expressing high levels of p185-EP2 (cf page 46, right column, lines 18-44) and (ii) of the administration in a phase II clinical study of rhuMoab HER2

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP00/06540 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

(seemingly the same recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody identified in the present application as trastuzumab Herceptin[™]) in combination with cisplatin to patients with breast carcinomas that overexpress p185 HER2 and a history of proven refractoriness to chemotherapy. The results of said combined therapy in these patients suggested that the synergy observed in the laboratory was reproducible in the clinic (cf paragraph bridging pages 46-47). Furthermore, D1 also reports the ongoing phase III multinational study of chemotherapy, i.e. either cyclophosphamide and doxorubicin (or epirubicin) or paclitaxel, in combination with rhuMoab HER-2 in patients with HER2-overexpressing breast tumors who had not received prior chemotherapy for metastatic disease (cf page 47, left column and Figure 2).

D2 relates to the treatment of human patients susceptible to or diagnosed with cancer overexpressing ErbB2 (also known as HER2) with a combination of an anti-ErB2 humanized antibody (e.g. the HERCEPTIN™) and a chemotherapeutic agent other than an anthracycline, e.g. doxorubicin or epirubicin. The experimental data provided in D2 (cf Example on pages 27-30) substantiate that the combination of anti-ErbB2 antibody treatment with chemotherapy markedly increases the clinical benefit as assessed by response dates and the evaluation of disease progression. However, due to the increased cardiac side-effects of doxorubicin or epirubicin, the combined use of anthracyclines with anti-ErbB2 antibody therapy is contraindicated (cf last paragraph on page 30). It would appear that the technical information provided in the experimental section of D2 corresponds to the results of the ongoing phase III clinical trial referred to in D1 (see above).

D3 studies the inhibitory effects of combinations of HER-2/neu antibody and chemotherapeutic agents used for treatment of human breast cancers both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Attention is drawn to the paragraph bridging pages 2249-2250 concerning the method therein carried out for the analysis of rhuMab HER-2 in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapeutic drugs (i.e. doxorubicin. methotrexate. etopoxide. 5-fluorouracil. vinblastin. cyclophosphamide and paclitaxel) against HER-2/neu-overexpressing breast carcinoma xenografts *in vivo*

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP00/06540 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

The present application describes and claims combined preparations comprising two types of antitumor agents, i.e. a daunorubicin (an alkylating anthracycline) and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody. and their therapeutic uses, especially for treating cancers over-expressing HER2 protein.

According to the description "The effectiveness of an alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib (i.e. the daunorubicin compound) and a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody is significantly increased without a parallel increased toxicity. In other words, the combined therapy of the present invention enhances the antitumoral effects of the alkylating anthracycline of formula Ia or Ib and of a recombinant humanized anti-HER2 antibody and thus yields the most effective and least toxic treatment for tumors" (cf page 5, lines 1-9).

In spite of the foregoing statements no experimental support can be found elsewhere in the description as originally filed showing that the expected technical effects, i.e. *in vivo* antineoplastic synergism not associated with an increased toxicity <u>in human patients</u>, are obtained.

The invitation found on page 5, lines 10-15 to carry out conventional *in vivo* assays in mice bearing human tumor xenografts overexpressing HER2 protein (of the type disclosed in D1 or D3, see above) with the intended compositions described in the present application cannot substantiate the presence of an inventive step associated with the subject-matter hereby claimed, contrary to Art. 33(3) PCT, all the more when considering the aforementioned results obtained in the phase III clinical trial reported in D2 with respect to the undesirable effects of a corresponding treatment involving the combined administration of HERCEPTIN™ with anthracycline compounds as doxorubicin.

Attention is drawn to the fact that both daunorubicin and doxorubicin are anthracycline antibiotic compounds which basically share the same mode of action (i.e. according to D2, page 12, lines 4-5 both are topo II inhibitors) and therefore, in the absence of suitable evidence of a technical nature demonstrating the contrary, a similar undesirable cardiac side-effect as the one referred to in D2 could in principle be expected for both of them, when used in combined treatments equivalent to those intended in present Claims 11-14.

Consequently, the application fails to contain the necessary technical information on the basis of which it could be possible to assess whether the various aspects of the alleged invention as defined in Claims 1-4, 5-6 and 7-10 (products for medical uses, pharmaceutical compositions, second medical indication manufacture formats) or Claims 11-14 (therapeutic methods) involve an inventive step over the teachings derivable from the related prior art (in particular D2), contrary to the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.

2.3 Industrial applicability (Art. 33(4) PCT)

For the assessment of the present Claims 1-5, 7-10 and 11-14 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.

2.4 In addition to the foregoing, the earlier document WO 00/69460 cited in the International Search Report is brought to the Applicant's attention in view of the provisions of Article 54(3)(4) EPC.

SECTION VII

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1, D2 and D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

SECTION VIII

1. Independent Claims 1 and 13 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. These claims

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY

International application No. PCT/EP00/06540

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

attempt to define the intended therapeutic methods in terms of the result to be achieved which merely amounts to a statement of the underlying problem. The technical features necessary for achieving this result, i.e. the actual amounts effective to produce the pursued synergistic antineoplastic effect, should have been added.

The statement in the description on page 5, last paragraph, implies that the 2. subject-matter for which protection is sought may be different to that defined by the claims, thereby resulting in lack of clarity (Article 6 PCT) when used to interpret them (see also the PCT Guidelines, III-4.3a).